

ERRATUM: AN UPDATED NOMENCLATORIAL CONSPECTUS
OF INFRAGENERIC NAMES IN *PINGUICULA*

Owing to a printer's error, some of the text was not printed at the bottom of page 168 in CPN volume 53 issue 4, December 2024, in the article

Zamora, J.C. and Fleischmann A. 2024. An updated nomenclatorial conspectus of infrageneric names in *Pinguicula*. *Carniv. Pl. Newslett.* 53(4):160-184. <https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn534.jz534>

The complete text for *Pinguicula* sect. *Brandonia* (syn. *Pinguicula* ser. *Emarginatae*) is printed below.

— John Brittnacher, CPN Editor
<https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn541.ed873>

Section 1.2: *Pinguicula* sect. *Brandonia* (Rchb.) DC., Prodr. [A. P. de Candolle] 8: 32. 1844

≡ *Pinguicula* ser. *Emarginatae* Casper, *Biblioth. Bot.* 31(127–128): 82. 1966

– “*Pinguicula* ser. *Emarginatae* Casper”, *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 82: 330. 1963, nom. inval. [ICN Arts. 40.1 and 40.3]

Typus: *Pinguicula lutea* Walter [designated by Casper 1966: 80 for *P.* subsect. *Primuliformis* and by Casper 1966: 82 for *P.* ser. *Emarginatae*; designated above in the present publication for *Brandonia*]

Nomenclatorial notes: When Casper (1963: 330) intended to publish “*P.* ser. *Emarginatae*”, he included more than one species name and did not indicate any as type, so the name cannot be considered validly published (ICN Arts. 40.1 and 40.3). The name *P. emarginata* Zamudio & Rzed. (Zamudio & Rzedowski 1986) had not been published at that date, and therefore ICN Art. 10.8 does not apply. *Pinguicula* sect. *Brandonia* has priority by more than 100 years over *P.* sect. *Isoloba*, and it is therefore the correct name to be used for the section including *P. lutea*. While the former has never been used after its publication, the current ICN Art. 14 does not provide the means to conserve a name in the rank of subdivision of a genus, unless it is to change its type when the subgeneric name is the basionym of a generic name that cannot be used in its current sense without conservation (ICN Art. 14.1, final sentence). Fortunately, the impact of reinstating an unused name of a subdivision of a genus is small compared to names of families, genera, or species, and specifically the use of *P.* sect. *Isoloba* in its current sense is restricted to few recent scientific publications.