The taxonomic relevance of Naphthoquinones in tropical pitcher plants (*Nepenthes* L., Nepenthaceae)

JAN SCHLAUER • Zwischenstr. 11 • D-60594 Frankfurt/Main • Germany • jan@carnivorousplants.org ANDREAS WISTUBA • Friedhofweg 4 • D-88437 Maselheim, Laupertshausen • Germany • andreas@ wistuba.com

SIEGFRIED R. H. HARTMEYER and IRMGARD HARTMEYER • Wittlinger Str. 5 • D-79576 Weil am Rhein • Germany • s.hartmeyer@t-online.de

Keywords: *Nepenthes*, phytochemistry, naphthoquinones, chemotaxonomy. Received: 2 June 2022 https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn514.js802

Abstract: The distribution of the naphthoquinones ramentaceone and plumbagin was studied among 50 taxa of the genus *Nepenthes*. Naphthoquinone patterns support classifications based on homology of plastid and/or nuclear genes to some extent, with plumbagin predominant in sections *Nepenthes*, *Urceolatae*, *Tentaculatae*, and *Regiae*, ramentaceone predominant in sections *Insignes* and *Villosae*, and both isomers present without clear predominance in sections *Pyrophytae* and *Montanae*. Only 9 of 96 studied species contained both isomers in the same plant. Naphthoquinone data from artificial hybrids of known parentage allowed conclusions on the biosynthesis of these compounds and the heredity of the respective enzymatic steps.

Introduction

The taxonomic utility of the distribution of the naphthoquinone isomers ramentaceone (7-methyljuglone, M) and plumbagin (2-methyljuglone, P) in the genus Nepenthes L. (Nepenthaceae) was already indicated by the first systematic screening (Schlauer et al. 2005). In some respects, the distribution of the isomers throughout the genus resembles patterns found in the closely related family Droseraceae (Culham & Gornall 1994; Schlauer et al. 2017; Schlauer et al. 2018; Schlauer et al. 2019a-c; Schlauer & Fleischmann 2021; Schlauer & Fleischmann 2022). All Nepenthes species investigated so far share the same chromosome count of 2n = 80 (Heubl & Wistuba 1998), while chromosome counts in Droseraceae are more diverse, with most species (ca. 53%) having 2n = 20chromosomes or counts based on the base number x = 10 (followed by species with counts based on $x = 7 \approx 18\%$ and $x = 6 \approx 16\%$, Kondo & Lavarack 1984; Kondo & Segawa 1988; Hoshi & Kondo 1998; James et al. 1997; Rivadavia 2005), so Nepenthes may be polyploid (octoploid with respect to a base count of x = 10). Together with the fact that Nepenthaceae are dioecious (obligately outcrossing) and genetically compatible across species boundaries, a comparatively high degree of hybridity can be expected for most taxa, especially where several different ones co-occur (Scharmann et al. 2021). Nevertheless, most Nepenthes species contain just one of the possible two naphthoquinone isomers (Schlauer et al. 2005). Taxa containing both isomers can thus be assumed to be of comparatively recent hybrid origin, similar to the situation in Drosera (Schlauer & Fleischmann 2016).

As naphthoquinone formation is widespread among Nepenthales, species devoid of naphthoquinones are most probably derived from ancestors that produced them. Hybrids of naphthoquinone-free species with naphthoquinone producing species can be expected to contain the quinone



Figure 1: 1 = N. ampullaria, 2 = N. inermis, 3 = N. ventricosa, 4 = N. talangensis, 5 = N. reinwardtiana, 6 = N. stenophylla, 7 = N. eymae \times clipeata, 8 = N. rafflesiana. Photos: S. Hartmeyer.



Scheme 1: Hypothetical biosynthesis of naphthoquinones in Nepenthales (cf. Schlauer *et al.* 2018). Acetate-derived C_2 units shown as bold lines, resulting C_1 units as filled circles.

isomer(s) of the productive species. But it was not clear so far whether the ability to direct naphthoquinone biosynthesis selectively towards one of the isomers (the divergent step in common initial steps in biosynthesis, cf. scheme 1) or if it is inherited independently. The investigation of several artificial hybrids for their quinone patterns should yield some clues to resolve this question.

Materials and methods

All plants used in the present study were cultivated at Andreas Wistuba's and Siegfried & Irmgard Hartmeyer's greenhouses in southern Germany. Small disks (0.5 cm diam.) cut from leaf bases of living plants were investigated as reported previously (Schlauer *et al.* 2017).

Results

Naphthoquinones were detected in the investigated samples as summarized (together with previous results) in Table 1.

Table 1. Nepenthes taxa investigated and quinones found.				
Section ^a	Taxon	Provenance/comment ^b	Quinone(s) ^c	Ref. ^d
Nepenthes	N. danseri	New Guinea	Р	1
Nepenthes	N. distillatoria	Sri Lanka	Р	1
Nepenthes	N. khasiana	N-India; (SH)	Р	*, 1
Nepenthes	N. lamii	New Guinea (Doorman Top)	Р	1
Nepenthes	N. madagascariensis	Madagascar	Р	1
Nepenthes	N. masoalensis	Madagascar	Р	1
Nepenthes	N. neoguineensis	New Guinea; (AW)	Р	*, 1
Nepenthes	N. paniculata	New Guinea; (AW)	Р	*
Nepenthes	N. pervillei	Seychelles (Mahé, Morne Seychelloise); (SH)	P+M	*, 1
Nepenthes	N. tomoriana	New Guinea	Р	1
Nepenthes	N. treubiana	New Guinea; (AW)	P+M	*
Nepenthes	N. vieillardii	New Caledonia	0	1
Urceolatae	N. ampullaria	Sumatra	0	1
Urceolatae	N. bicalcarata	Borneo (Malaysia)	Р	1
Urceolatae?	N. gracilis	cult.	Р	1, 4
Urceolatae?	N. hirsuta	Borneo	0	1
Urceolatae?	N. mapuluensis	Borneo	0	1
Urceolatae?	N. mirabilis	cult.	Р	1
Urceolatae?	N. papuana	New Guinea (Doorman Top)	Р	1
Tentaculatae	N. glabrata	Sulawesi	Р	1
Tentaculatae	N. hamata	Sulawesi	Р	1
Tentaculatae	N. muluensis	Borneo (Mulu)	Р	1
Tentaculatae	N. tentaculata	Sulawesi & E Borneo	Р	1
Tentaculatae	N. undulatifolia	Sulawesi; (AW)	Р	*
Insignes	N. bellii	Philippines (Mindanao)	P+M	1
Insignes	N. biak	New Guinea (Biak); (AW)	М	*
Insignes	N. burkei	Philippines	М	1
Insignes	N. campanulata	Borneo	0	1
Insignes	N. insignis	New Guinea (2 accessions: Biak & type loc.) (AW)	М	*, 1; P in 2
Insignes	N. merrilliana	Philipines (Mindanao); (SH)	0	*, 1
Insignes	N. sibuyanensis	Philippines (Sibuyan); (AW)	М	*, 1
Insignes	N. ventricosa	Philippines ("green")	М	1
Insignes	N. ventricosa	Philippines; ("porcelain"); (SH)	Р	*,1
Villosae	N. boschiana	Borneo	Р	1
Villosae	N. copelandii	Philippines (Mt. Apo)	М	1
Villosae	N. edwardsiana	Borneo; (AW)	М	*

Table 1. Continued.				
Section ^a	Taxon	Provenance/comment ^b	Quinone(s) ^c	Ref. ^d
Villosae	N. macrophylla	Borneo (Trus Madi)	М	1
Villosae	N. micramphora	Philippines (Mindanao); (AW)	Р	*
Villosae	N. pulchra	Philippines, (possibly hybrid with another species) (SH)	М	*
Villosae	N. rajah	Borneo	Р	1
Villosae	N. truncata	Philippines (Mindanao); (SH)	0	*, 1
Villosae	N. villosa	Borneo	Р	1
Pyrophytae	N. albomarginata	cult. ("red"); (SH) & Malaysia (Penang)	М	*, 1
Pyrophytae	N. benstonei	Malaysia (peninsular)	P+M	1
Pyrophytae	N. gracillima	Malaysia (Tahan)	Р	1
Pyrophytae	N. macfarlanei	Malaysia (peninsular)	Р	1
Pyrophytae	N. macrovulgaris	Borneo	0	1
Pyrophytae	N. northiana	Borneo	Р	1
Pyrophytae	N. rafflesiana	cult. (SH)	Р	*, 1
Pyrophytae	N. ramispina	Borneo; (SH)	0	*, 1
Pyrophytae	N. reinwardtiana	Borneo ("green"); (SH)	P+M	*, 1
Pyrophytae	N. sanguinea	Malaysia (Genting)	М	1
Pyrophytae	N. smilesii	Thailand	Р	1
Pyrophytae	N. thorelii	Vietnam	0	1; P in 3
Montanae	N. adnata	Sumatra	М	1
Montanae	N. aristolochioides	Sumatra	Р	1
Montanae	N. bongso	Sumatra (Singgalang)	М	1
Montanae	N. densiflora	Sumatra	P+M	1
Montanae	N. diatas	Sumatra (Bandahara)	Р	1
Montanae	N. dubia	Sumatra (Barisan)	Р	1
Montanae	N. eustachya	Sumatra	Р	1
Montanae	N. gymnamphora	Sumatra; (SH)	Р	*, 1
Montanae	N. inermis	Sumatra (Gadut)	Р	1
Montanae	N. jacquelineae	Sumatra	М	1
Montanae	N. lavicola	Sumatra	0	1
Montanae	N. longifolia	Sumatra	Р	1
Montanae	N. mikei	Sumatra	Р	1
Montanae	N. ovata	Sumatra	М	1
Montanae	N. rhombicaulis	Sumatra	P+M	1
Montanae	N. singalana	Sulawesi (Mantalingajan & Singgalang)	Р	1

Table 1. Continued.					
Section ^a	Taxon	Provenance/comment ^b	Quinone(s) ^c	Ref. ^d	
Montanae	N. spathulata	Sumatra (G. Tudjuh & Tanggamus)	М	1	
Montanae	N. spectabilis	Sumatra (Pangulubao & Bandahara)	0	1	
Montanae	N. sumatrana	Sumatra	Р	1	
Montanae	N. talangensis	Sumatra	Р	1	
Montanae	N. tenuis	Sumatra	P+M	1	
Montanae	N. tobaica	Sumatra (Toba)	Р	1	
Regiae	N. alata	Philippines	Р	1	
Regiae	N. burbidgeae	Borneo	0	1	
Regiae	N. chaniana	Borneo; (SH)	Р	*, 1	
Regiae	N. clipeata	Borneo; (SH)	Р	*, 1	
Regiae	N. deaniana	Philippines (Palawan)	Р	1	
Regiae	N. ephippiata	Borneo	Р	1	
Regiae	N. eymae	Sulawesi; (SH)	Р	*, 1	
Regiae	N. faizaliana	Borneo	Р	1	
Regiae	N. fusca	Borneo (Kinabalu)	P+M	1	
Regiae	N. graciliflora	Philippines; (SH)	М	*	
Regiae	N. klossii	New Guinea; (AW)	0	*	
Regiae	N. lowii	Borneo (Mulu)	Р	1	
Regiae	N. maxima	cult. (SH) & New Guinea (Poso)	Р	*, 1	
Regiae	N. oblanceolata	Papua; (SH)	Р	*, 1	
Regiae	N. philippinensis	Philippines (Palawan)	Р	1	
Regiae	N. spec.	Borneo (E Kalimantan)	Р	1	
Regiae	N. stenophylla	Borneo (Bario)	Р	1	
Regiae	N. veitchii	Borneo; (Bario, "highland"); (SH)	0	*, 1	
Regiae	N. viridis	Philippines (Dinagat); (SH)	М	*	
Artificial Hybrids					
Regiae × Insignes	N. alata × ventricosa	$P \times P$; <i>Nepenthes</i> × <i>ventrata</i> (SH)	Р	*	
Regiae × Villosae	N. burbidgeae × edwardsiana	$0 \times M$; (AW)	М	*	
Insignes × Regiae	N. campanulata × maxima	$0 \times P; (AW)$	Р	*	
Regiae	N. chaniana × veitchii	P × 0; Nepenthes "pilosa" × veitchii (SH)	Р	*	
Regiae	N. clipeata \times eymae	$P \times P$; (AW)	Р	*	

Table 1. Continued.				
Section ^a	Taxon	Provenance/comment ^b	Quinone(s) ^c	Ref. ^d
Regiae	N. eymae × clipeata	P × P; P. Debbert, Munich ca. 1989 (SH)	Р	*
Tentaculatae × Insignes	N. hamata × campanulata	$P \times 0$; (AW)	Р	*
Regiae × Insignes	N. lowii × campanulata	$P \times 0$; (AW)	Р	*
Regiae	N. lowii × ephippiata	$P \times P$; (AW)	Р	*
Regiae × Insignes	N. lowii × merrilliana	$P \times 0$; (AW)	Р	*
Regiae × Montanae	N. lowii × spectabilis	$P \times 0; (AW)$	Р	*
Regiae × Villosae	N. lowii × truncata	$P \times 0$; (AW)	Р	*
Regiae × Pyrophytae	N. maxima × northiana	$P \times P$; Nepenthes \times mixta; (SH)	Р	*
Montanae × Villosae	N. talangensis × truncata	P × 0; Weil am Rhein 2007 (SH)	Р	*
Villosae × Regiae	N. truncata × ephippiata	$0 \times P$; (AW)	Р	*
Regiae × Villosae	N. veitchii × edwardsiana	0 × M; Weil am Rhein 2014 (SH)	М	*
Regiae × Villosae	N. veitchii × edwardsiana	$0 \times M$; Weil am Rhein 2018 (SH)	М	*
Regiae	N. veitchii × lowii	0 × P; (AW) and Weil am Rhein 2012 (SH)	Р	*
Regiae	N. veitchii × maxima	$0 \times P$; H. Hennern (SH)	Р	*
Insignes × Urceolatae?	N. ventricosa × mapuluensis	$P \times 0; (AW)$	Р	*
Insignes × Urceolatae?	N. ventricosa × mapuluensis	$P \times 0; (AW)$	Р	*
Insignes × Regiae	N. ventricosa × maxima	$P \times P$; (see reference)	Р	5

^aClassification according to Clarke et al. 2018

^bPlants investigated in this study: AW = cultivated by Andreas Wistuba; SH: cultivated by Siegfried & Irmgard Hartmeyer

°P: plumbagin, M: ramentaceone, 0: no quinone detected

^d * New/additional data from this study

1 Schlauer et al. 2005; all investigated specimens cultivated by Joachim Nerz

2 Rischer *et al.* 2002 A hybrid origin of the studied plants cannot be excluded. Possible partners with **P** and putatively overlapping distribution are *N. mirabilis, N. papuana* and *N. maxima* (H. Rischer pers. comm.).

3 Likhitwitayawuid et al. 1998

4 Aung et al. 2002

5 Shin et al. 2007

Discussion

Like in the related family Droseraceae, naphthoquinone patterns are fairly constant within species, and some isomer preference is observed in most sections of *Nepenthes*. **P** is predominant in sections *Nepenthes* (present in 91% of the investigated species, vs. 17% **M**), *Urceolatae* (57% **P**, 0% **M**), *Tentaculatae* (100% **P**, 0% **M**), *Montanae* (68% **P**, 36% **M**), and *Regiae* (74% **P**, 16% **M**), **M** is predominant in section *Insignes* (67% **M**, 22% **P**), and both isomers are present without clear predominance in sections *Villosae* (44% each) and *Pyrophytae* (53% **M**, 38% **P**).

In spite of the anticipated high heterozygosity (frequent introgression, high ploidity) of most *Nepenthes* taxa, only 9 of 96 studied species (9.4%, with no obvious concentration in any section) contain both isomers in the same plant. This proportion is only slightly larger than in Droseraceae (19 of 214 investigated taxa, 8.9%), which may indicate selection against heterozygosity in this trait. Unfortunately, no artificial hybrid between quinone-heterogenous parent species was available in this study. But it is anticipated that such hybrids would contain both isomers like in the related genus *Drosera* (Schlauer & Fleischmann 2016).

All investigated hybrids contained their parents' naphthoquinone isomers, and in particular crosses with naphthoquinone-free species did not yield additional isomers. This strongly suggests that the ability to direct naphthoquinone biosynthesis towards one of the isomers (divergent regioselectivity) is inherited together with the ability to produce naphthoquinones (common polyketide synthesis and subsequent redox/cyclization reactions), and both abilities (that are most probably dependent on separate enzymes, cf. scheme 1) are obviously lost in species that do not contain any isomer.

Acknowledgements: Lubomir Adamec (Trebon) and Heiko Rischer (Helsinki) are thanked for reviewing and improving the manuscript.

References

- Aung, H.H., Chia, L.S., Goh, N.K., Chia, T.F., Ahmed, A.A., Pare, P.W., and Mabry, T. J. 2002. Phenolic constituents from the leaves of the carnivorous plant *Nepenthes gracilis*. Fitoterapia 73: 445-447. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-326X(02)00113-2
- Clarke, C., Schlauer, J., Moran, J., and Robinson, A. 2018. Systematics and evolution of *Nepenthes*. In: Ellison, A.M. and Adamec, L.; eds. Carnivorous Plants: Physiology, Ecology, and Evolution. Oxford University Press, pp. 58-69. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198779841.003.0005
- Culham A., and Gornall, R.J. 1994. The taxonomic significance of naphthoquinones in the Droseraceae. Biochem. System. Ecol. 22: 507-515. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-1978(94)90045-0
- Heubl, G., and Wistuba, A. 1998. A cytological study of the genus *Nepenthes* L. (Nepenthaceae). Sendtnera 4: 169-174. https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Sendtnera 4 0169-0174.pdf
- Hoshi, Y., and Kondo, K. 1998. Chromosome differentiation in *Drosera*, subgenus *Rorella*, section *Rossolis*. Cytologia 63: 199-211. https://doi.org/10.1508/cytologia.63.199
- James, S.H., Chen, L., Lowrie, A., and Marchant, N. 1997. Chromosome counts. (pp. 192-193) in Chen, L., James, S.H., and Helen M. Stace, H.M., Self-incompatibility, seed abortion and clonality in the breeding systems of several western Australian *Drosera* species (Droseraceae). Australian Journal of Botany 45: 191-201. https://doi.org/10.1071/BT96027
- Kondo, K., and Lavarack, P.S. 1984. A cytotaxonomic study of some Australian species of *Drosera* L. (Droseraceae). Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 88:317-333. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1984.tb01579.x

- Kondo, K., and Segawa, M. 1988. A cytotaxonomic study in artificial hybrids between *Drosera anglica* Huds. and its certain closely related species in series *Drosera*, section *Drosera*, subgenus *Drosera*, *Drosera*. La Kromosomo II-51-52: 1697-1709.
- Likhitwitayawuid, K., Kaewamatawong, R., Ruangrungsi, N., and Krungkrai, J. 1998. Antimalarial naphthoquinones from *Nepenthes thorelii*. Planta Medica 64: 237-241. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-957417
- Rischer H., Hamm, A., and Bringmann, G. 2002. Nepenthes insignis Danser (Nepenthaceae) uses a C₂-portion of the carbon skeleton of alanine acquired via its carnivorous organs to build up the allelochemical plumbagin. Phytochemistry 59: 603-609. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00003-1
- Rivadavia, F. 2005. New chromosome numbers for *Drosera* L. (Droseraceae). Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 34: 85-91. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn343.fr964
- Scharmann, M., Wistuba, A., and Widmer, A. 2021. Introgression is widespread in the radiation of carnivorous *Nepenthes* pitcher plants. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 163: 107214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2021.107214
- Schlauer, J., and Fleischmann, A. 2016. Chemical evidence for hybridity in *Drosera* (Droseraceae). Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 66: 33-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2016.03.005
- Schlauer, J., and Fleischmann, A. 2021. Naphthoquinones in Pygmy Sundews (*Drosera* sect. *Bryastrum*). Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 50: 111-117. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn503.js430
- Schlauer, J., and Fleischmann, A. 2022. Refined taxon sampling discloses new quinone patterns and relationships among Sundews (*Drosera*, Droseraceae). Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 51: 70-73. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn511.js500
- Schlauer, J., Nerz, J., and Rischer, H. 2005. Carnivorous plant chemistry. Acta Botanica Gallica 152: 187-195. https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2005.10515469
- Schlauer, J., Hartmeyer, S.R.H., and Hartmeyer, I. 2017. Unexpected discovery of 7-methyljuglone (ramentaceone) in several Australian sundews. Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 46: 20-22. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn461.js140
- Schlauer, J., Hartmeyer, S.R.H., Hartmeyer, I., Hennern, H., and Hennern, A. 2018. Sundew chemistry and emergence updates. Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 47: 10-17. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn471.js326
- Schlauer, J., Carow, T., and Fleischmann, A. 2019a. Quinones from "Gondwanan" Sundews; Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 48: 13-17. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn481.js693
- Schlauer, J., Hartmeyer, S.R.H., Hartmeyer, I., Hennern, H., and Hennern, A. 2019b. New sundew quinone and emergence data. Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 48: 6-12. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn481.js742
- Schlauer, J., Hartmeyer, S.R.H., and Hartmeyer, I. 2019c. Quinone patterns and identification of Japanese spider leg sundews (*Drosera* sect. *Arachnopus*). Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 48: 161-163. https://doi.org/10.55360/cpn484.js448
- Shin, K.-S., Lee, S.-K., and Cha, B.-J. 2007. Antifungal activity of plumbagin purified from leaves of *Nepenthes ventricosa* × *maxima* against phytopathogenic fungi. The Plant Pathology Journal 23(2): 113-115. https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.2007.23.2.113