

## NEW CHROMOSOME NUMBERS FOR *DROSERA* L. (DROSERACEAE)

FERNANDO RIVADAVIA • Alameda Ribeirão Preto 438 • 01331-000 • São Paulo, S.P. • Brazil • fe\_riva@uol.com.br

Keywords: karyology: *Drosera*.

### Introduction

Out of nearly 150 known *Drosera* species, chromosome numbers are known for approximately 50 (Bennett & Cheek, 1989; Behre, 1929; Beuzenberg & Hair, 1983; Debbert, 1987; Heitz, 1926; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a, 1998b; Kondo, 1969, 1971, 1973, 1976, 1984; Kondo & Lavarack, 1984; Kondo & Olivier, 1979; Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Kondo & Whitehead, 1971; Kress, 1970; Peng *et al.*, 1986; Rothfels & Heimburger, 1968; Schlauer, 1987; Sheikh & Kondo, 1995; Venkatasubban, 1950; Wood, 1955) in almost all subgenera and sections *sensu* Schlauer (1996). A summary of chromosome numbers previously published for *Drosera* taxa is presented in Table 1.

Most of the New World *Drosera* species belong in subgen. *Drosera* (sections *Drosera*, *Oosperma*, and *Ptycnostigma*) except *D. meristocaulis* Maguire & Wurdack and *D. sessilifolia* A.Saint-Hilaire which belong respectively in the subgenera *Meristocaulis* and *Thelocalyx* (Schlauer, 1996). All chromosome numbers known for subgen. *Drosera* are multiples of the basic number  $x=10$ , including  $2n=20, 30, 40, 60,$  and  $80$  (Bennett & Cheek, 1989; Behre, 1929; Beuzenberg & Hair, 1983; Debbert, 1987; Futagawa, *et al.*, 2002; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a, 1998b; Kondo, 1969, 1971, 1976; Kondo & Lavarack, 1984; Kondo & Olivier, 1979; Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Kress, 1970; Peng *et al.*, 1986; Rothfels & Heimburger, 1968; Venkatasubban, 1950; Wood, 1955). The only exceptions are *D. adelae* F.Muell., *D. cuneifolia* L.f., and *D. indica* L. (see Table 1).

All of the taxa native to North America (excluding simple hybrids) have  $2n=20$  (Futagawa, *et al.*, 2002; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a, 1998b; Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Rothfels & Heimburger, 1968; Wood, 1955), except *D. anglica* Huds. which has  $2n=40$  (Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Wood, 1955). Among these, three species also occur in Europe and temperate Asia (*D. anglica* Huds., *D. intermedia* Hayne, and *D. rotundifolia* L.) and three in South America (*D. brevifolia* Pursh, *D. capillaris* Poir., and *D. intermedia*) (Diels 1906; Schlauer 1987).

New chromosome numbers for *Drosera* species are reported below and the karyology of the genus is discussed and compared to recent molecular data.

### Materials and Methods

Only meristematic tissue from live root tips (collected from plants in the wild or in cultivation) were used for chromosome counts. Mitotic arrests were accomplished with 8-hydroxyquinoline (0.002M for 3-5h in the refrigerator) and methanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1) was used for fixation. Root tips collected in the field were fixed directly in methanol/acetic acid (3:1). Standard chromosome preparations (HCl/ Giemsa) followed Guerra (1983).

A list is given in Table 2 of the taxa native to areas south of the Amazon Basin in Brazil that were karyologically analyzed, as well as their chromosome numbers, and respective herbarium voucher specimens. In Table 3 are the chromosome numbers and origins of the taxa analyzed which were grown from seed or vegetatively propagated.

### Results and Discussion

Chromosome numbers for all taxa in Table 3 have been previously reported by other authors, the only exception being *Drosera roraimae*, which has been found to have  $2n=20$ . The chromosome counts carried out for *D. capensis* L., *D. intermedia*, and *D. filiformis* Raf. var. *tracyi* (Macf.) Diels (respectively  $2n=40, 2n=20,$  and  $2n=20$ ) agree with those published by other authors (Behre, 1929; Heitz, 1926; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a; Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Rothfels & Heimburger, 1968; Wood,

Subgenus / Section	Approximate # of species	Distribution	Chromosome numbers
<i>Thelocalyx</i>	2	S Asia, N Australia, S America	20
<i>Arcturia</i>	2	SE Australia, N.Zealand	20
<i>Stelogyne</i>	1	SW Australia	28
<i>Meristocaulis</i>	1	N Brazil, S Venezuela	unknown
<i>Regiae</i>	1	South Africa	34
<i>Coelophylla</i>	1	S Australia	22
<i>Lasiocephala</i>	13	N Australia, N.Guinea	12, 13, 14, 19, 24
<i>Drosera / Prolifera</i>	3	NE Australia	28, 30
<i>Drosera / Arachnopus</i>	1	Africa, Asia, Australia	28
<i>Drosera / Ptycnostygma</i>	7	South Africa, New World	20, 40, 60, 80
<i>Drosera / Oosperma</i>	24	Worldwide	20, 40, 60, 80
<i>Drosera / Drosera</i>	20	Africa, Asia, Europe, New World	20, 32, 40
<i>Bryastrum / Bryastrum</i>	1	S Australia, N.Zealand	c.28
<i>Bryastrum / Lamprolepis</i>	29	SW Australia	6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 28
<i>Phycopsis</i>	1	E Australia, N.Zealand	32, 46, 64
<i>Ergaleium / Ergaleium</i>	23	S & E Asia, Australia, N.Zealand	14, 26, 28, 30, 32, 40
<i>Ergaleium / Stolonifera</i>	4	SW Australia	20, 26

Table 1: Chromosome numbers for the genus *Drosera* (Bennett & Cheek, 1989; Behre, 1929; Beuzenberg & Hair, 1983; Debbert, 1987; Futagawa, *et al.*, 2002; Heitz, 1926; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a, 1998b; Kondo, 1969, 1971, 1973, 1976, 1984; Kondo & Lavarack, 1984; Kondo & Olivier, 1979; Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Kress, 1970; Peng *et al.*, 1986; Sheikh & Kondo, 1995; Venkatasubban, 1950; Wood, 1955) with the distribution (Diels, 1906; Schlauer, 1987) and number of species in each subgenus and section *sensu* Schlauer (1996).

1955). *D. cistiflora* L. has been reported to have  $2n=40$  (Kondo & Olivier, 1979) and  $2n=60$  (Behre, 1929), this variation not being a surprise considering it is one of the most morphologically polymorphic species in the genus. For this study, a purple-flowered form of *D. cistiflora* was found to have  $2n=60$ .

Both Rothfels & Heimburger (1968) and Behre (1929) published  $2n=80$  and Heitz (1926)  $2n=c.72$  for *D. spatulata* Labill., the highest chromosome numbers known in the genus *Drosera*. These specimens were later considered synonymous of *D. aliciae* Hamet (Kondo, 1971). The number reported by Heitz was most likely obtained from  $2n=80$  specimens, the confusion arising from the difficulty of counting the tiny chromosomes found in polyploid species of subgen. *Drosera*. Bennett & Cheek (1989) published  $2n=40$  for *D. aliciae*, a number confirmed in the present work. The question stands whether *D. aliciae* comprises both a tetraploid and an octoploid race, or whether two different taxa are involved (a very likely scenario, considering the taxonomic confusion involving small rosetted African sundews).

Among the rosetted African species is *D. cuneifolia*, which belongs to sect. *Drosera* (Schlauer, 1996) and is native to the Cape Town region of South Africa (Diels, 1906; Schlauer, 1987). Kondo & Olivier (1979) reported  $2n=32$  for this species, although Debbert (1987) found  $2n=40$  for *D. admirabilis* P.Debbert, which is considered a synonym of *D. cuneifolia* by Schlauer (1987). A new chromosome count for *D. cuneifolia* would be of interest to confirm (or refute) the above number.

For *D. spatulata* the chromosome numbers of  $2n=20, 40, 50,$  and  $60$  have been reported (Beuzenberg & Hair, 1983; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a, 1969, 1971; Rattenbury, 1957), excluding the

above-mentioned  $2n=c.72$  and  $80$  which pertain to *D. aliciae*. The *D. spatulata* specimens reported to have  $2n=60$  belong to what was later described as *D. tokaiensis*, the amphiploid hybrid between *D. spatulata* and *D. rotundifolia*. The specimens reported to have  $2n=50$  are most likely hybrids between *D. tokaiensis* and tetraploid *D. spatulata*. Thus the only chromosome numbers confirmed for true *D. spatulata* are  $2n=20$  in New Zealand and  $2n=40$  in Australia and Japan.

The odd  $2n=30$  obtained in this work for *D. spatulata* from Beerwah (near Brisbane, Queensland, Australia collected by Mr. Ivan Snyder) is a new number never before reported for *D. spatulata* and the specimens used are almost certainly hybrids between a tetraploid and a diploid race. Seeds obtained from these cultivated specimens did not germinate, suggesting that the plants were sterile. However it is uncertain whether the hybridization occurred accidentally in cultivation or naturally in the wild, since it is not known if diploid races of *D. spatulata* exist in Australia. The chromosome number  $2n=30$  is also known from other sterile hybrids between diploid and tetraploid *Drosera* species. (Kondo & Segawa, 1988), including the recently described *D. tokaiensis* subsp. *hyugaensis* J.Seno, a simple cross between *D. spatulata* and *D. rotundifolia* (Seno, 2003).

As with the majority of the North American taxa, several of the South American taxa have been found to be diploids with  $2n=20$ . These are *D. cayennensis* Sagot ex Diels (here including *D. pumila* Santos and *D. colombiana* Fernandez-Perez as synonyms), *D. communis* A.Saint-Hilaire, *D. hirtella* A.Saint-Hilaire var. *hirtella*, *D. hirtella* var. *lutescens* A.Saint-Hilaire, *D. roraimae* (Klotzsch ex Diels) Maguire & Laudon, *D. sessilifolia*, and *D. viridis* F.Rivadavia. The South American *D. brevifolia* and *D. intermedia* here analyzed, similarly to other specimens studied (Futagawa, *et al.*, 2002; Hoshi & Kondo, 1998a; Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Wood, 1955), also have  $2n=20$  (Tables 2 and 3). Interestingly, *D. ascendens* A.Saint-Hilaire, *D. camporupestris* F.Rivadavia, *D. chrysolepis* Taubert, *D. grantsauii* F.Rivadavia, *D. graomogolensis* T.Silva, *D. tomentosa* A.Saint-Hilaire, and *D. tentaculata* F.Rivadavia were discovered to be tetraploids with  $2n=40$ . The natural hybrid *D. grantsauii*  $\times$  *D. tomentosa* was also found to have  $2n=40$ , as in both parent species (Table 2). In agreement with Futagawa, *et al.* (2002), *D. graminifolia* A.Saint-Hilaire and *D. villosa* A.Saint-Hilaire were found to have  $2n=40$ .

*Drosera sessilifolia* is here reported to have  $2n=20$  (Table 2), as does the only other member of subgen. *Thelocalyx*, *D. burmannii* Vahl. (Hoshi & Kondo, 1998b; Kondo & Lavarack, 1984; Peng *et al.*, 1986; Venkatasubban, 1950) (Table 1). *Drosera sessilifolia* is known to occur in Brazil, Venezuela, and Guyana (Schlauer, 1987) whilst *D. burmannii* occurs from Northern Australia to Southern and Eastern Asia (Diels, 1906; Schlauer, 1987) (a collection of *D. burmannii* from West Africa is cited in Diels, yet this has never been confirmed). Although geographically distant, *D. burmannii* and *D. sessilifolia* are very similar morphologically, differing in that the former has cuneate leaves, ascending scapes, and white flowers whilst the latter has cuneate-spatulate leaves, erect scapes, and pink-lilac flowers. Interestingly, fertile offsprings between these two species have been obtained through artificial hybridization in cultivation (Ivan Snyder, pers. comm.).

The chromosome number for *D. sessilifolia* has been reported as  $2n=80$  (Hoshi & Kondo, 1998b) and as  $2n=20$  (Futagawa, *et al.*, 2002). Seeds labelled as *D. sessilifolia* have been circulating in cultivation for a few years, yet the resulting plants are almost always *D. aliciae*, which also has  $2n=80$ . Considering that *D. sessilifolia* crosses with *D. burmannii* ( $2n=20$ ) and that these hybrids are fertile, the conclusion reached is that the plants used by Hoshi & Kondo were in fact *D. aliciae* and not *D. sessilifolia*.

Among the Brazilian *Drosera* taxa here analyzed no significant differences in chromosome lengths were observed for any one species, although the chromosomes of the tetraploid taxa were always relatively smaller than those of the diploid taxa. The only exception was *D. sessilifolia* which, like the tetraploid taxa, was found to possess relatively small chromosomes. Futagawa *et al.* (2002) describe chromosomes varying between  $1.02\text{-}2.95\mu\text{m}$  in length for the diploid species *D. filiformis*, *D. capillaris*, and *D. brevifolia* while the tetraploids *D. graminifolia*, *D. montana*, and *D. villosa* plus the diploid *D. sessilifolia* have chromosomes varying between  $0.43\text{-}1.56\mu\text{m}$  in length.

The relatively smaller size of the chromosomes observed among the Brazilian tetraploid species suggests that there has been chromosome reduction subsequent to the polyploidization event. This is a frequent occurrence in polyploid taxa, where the doubling (or more) of the whole genome permits the subsequent loss over time of repeated sections (coding and non-coding regions) without compromising functionality of the chromosomes (Degreef, 1990; Rothfels & Heimburger, 1968).

Taxa Examined	2n	Herbarium Vouchers (deposited at SPF)
<i>D. ascendens</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	São Paulo. São Bernardo do Campo: ao lado da rodovia dos Imigrantes, 12/Nov/1992, <i>Rivadavia</i> 153.
<i>D. brevifolia</i> Pursh	20	Paraná. São Luís do Purunã: estrada Curitiba - Ponta Grossa (BR 376), 21/Apr./1995, <i>Rivadavia et al.</i> 393.
<i>D. camporupestris</i> F.Rivadavia	40	Minas Gerais. Jaboticatubas: Serra do Cipó, Fazenda da Serra do Cipó, 6/Jul./1995, <i>Rivadavia</i> 447.
<i>D. chrysolepis</i> Taubert	40	Minas Gerais. Santana do Riacho: Serra do Cipó, bifurcação da estr. Conceição do Mato Dentro-Morro do Pilar, 5/Jun./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 288.
<i>D. cayennensis</i> Sagot ex Diels	20	Mato Grosso. Chapada dos Guimarães: nascente ao lado do cór. da Mata Fria, 23/Feb./1994, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Cardoso</i> 255.
<i>D. cayennensis</i> Sagot ex Diels	20	Mato Grosso. Chapada dos Guimarães: descendo a encosta do Mirante, 30/Apr./1995, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Cardoso</i> 425.
<i>D. communis</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	20	Mato Grosso. Chapada dos Guimarães: nascente brejosa do cór. Mata Fria, 23/Feb./1994, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Cardoso</i> 257.
<i>D. communis</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	20	Minas Gerais. Grão Mogol: Morro do Jambeiro, 3/Jun./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 284.
<i>D. communis</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	20	São Paulo. Cotia: após Vargem Grande, estrada p/ Ibiúna, 13/Feb./1996, <i>Rivadavia et al.</i> 512.
<i>D. grantsau</i> F.Rivadavia	40	Minas Gerais. Grão Mogol: Morro do Jambeiro, 8/Sep./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 299.
<i>D. grantsau</i> F.Rivadavia	40	Minas Gerais. Botumirim: Serra da Canastra, 21/Dec./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 339.
<i>D. grantsau</i> F.Rivadavia × <i>D. tomentosa</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Grão Mogol: Morro do Jambeiro, 3/Jun./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 286.
<i>D. graminifolia</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Grão Mogol: Trilha da Tropa, 7/Sep./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 295.
<i>D. graminifolia</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Diamantina: metade do caminho para Biri-Biri, 7/Jul./1995, <i>Rivadavia et al.</i> 453.
<i>D. graomogolensis</i> T.Silva	40	Minas Gerais. Grão Mogol: Morro do Jambeiro, 8/Sep./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 297.
<i>D. hirtella</i> A.Saint-Hilaire var. <i>hirtella</i>	20	Minas Gerais. Jaboticatubas: trilha p/ o mirante do canyon, 6/Jul./1995, <i>Rivadavia</i> 445.
<i>D. hirtella</i> A.Saint-Hilaire var. <i>lutescens</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	20	Mato Grosso. Chapada dos Guimarães: arredores da cachoeira Véu de Noiva, 30/Apr./1995, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Cardoso</i> 424.
<i>D. tomentosa</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Jaboticatubas: Serra do Cipó, morro entre sede do IBAMA e estátua do Juquinha, 4/Jul./1995, <i>Rivadavia</i> 439.
<i>D. tomentosa</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Jaboticatubas: Serra do Cipó, Fazenda da Serra do Cipó, 6/Jul./1995, <i>Rivadavia</i> 449.
<i>D. tomentosa</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Grão Mogol: Morro do Jambeiro, nascente brejosa, 8/Sep./1994, <i>Rivadavia</i> 298.
<i>D. sessilifolia</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	20	Mato Grosso. Chapada dos Guimarães: Salgadeira, 15/Feb./1992, <i>Cardoso s/n.</i>
<i>D. tentaculata</i> F.Rivadavia	40	Minas Gerais. Jaboticatubas: trilha p/o mirante do canyon da Bocaína, 23/Feb./1996, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Mullins</i> 541.
<i>D. villosa</i> A.Saint-Hilaire	40	Minas Gerais. Lima Duarte: Parque Estadual Florestal de Ibitipoca, Morro da Lombada, 30/Oct./1995, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Padovese</i> 507.
<i>D. viridis</i> F.Rivadavia	20	São Paulo. Paranapiacaba: c.2km antes da cidade, 21/Apr./1995, <i>Rivadavia &amp; Cardoso</i> 393.

Table 2: List of the *Drosera* L. (Droseraceae) taxa collected in Brazil, their chromosome numbers, and voucher specimens. All plants were collected and cultivated by the author at the Botany Department of the University of São Paulo and the herbarium vouchers are deposited at SPF.

Taxa Examined	2n	Place of Origin
<i>D. aliciae</i> Hamet	40	South Africa, Cape Province
<i>D. capensis</i> L.	40	South Africa, Cape Province
<i>D. cistiflora</i> L. — purple flowers	60	South Africa, Cape Province
<i>D. filiformis</i> Raf. var. <i>tracyi</i> (Macf.) Diels	20	Southeastern USA
<i>D. intermedia</i> Hayne	20	Mt.Roraima, Venezuela
<i>D. roraimae</i> (Klotzsch ex Diels) Maguire & Laudon	20	Mt.Roraima, Venezuela
<i>D. spatulata</i> Labill. — pink flowers	30	Beerwah, Queensland, Australia

Table 3: List of the *Drosera* L. (Droseraceae) taxa obtained as seeds or from vegetative propagation, their chromosome numbers, and their place of origin. All plants were cultivated by the author at the Botany Department of the University of São Paulo.

The difference in chromosome length observed between the diploid and tetraploid taxa suggests that the polyploidization event may be ancient and therefore any obvious signs of hybrid origin, such as bimodal karyotypes, may already have been erased. Bimodal karyotypes (when two sets of chromosomes are clearly visible due to differences in size) have been used as evidence of recent hybridogenetic origin through amphiploidy (hybridization followed by duplication of the whole genome, allowing sterile crosses to become fertile) for *D. anglica* and *D. tokaiensis* (Komiya & Shibata) T.Nakamura & Ueda, with the probable crosses being *D. rotundifolia* with *D. linearis* Goldie for the former (Kondo & Segawa, 1988; Wood, 1955) and *D. rotundifolia* with a tetraploid *D. spatulata* Labill. for the latter (T.Nakamura & Ueda, 1991).

*Drosera adelae* is included by Schlauer (1996) in sect. *Prolifera* together with *D. prolifera* C.T.White and *D. schizandra* Diels, all of which are native to Northeastern Australia (Diels, 1906; Schlauer, 1987) and have been reported to have  $2n=30$  (Kondo, 1976; Kondo & Lavarack, 1984; Kondo & Olivier, 1979). Yet  $2n=28$  has also been reported for a cultivated cytotype of *D. adelae* (Kondo, 1976). The two chromosome numbers recorded for *D. adelae* may represent different forms of this taxon known to cultivation, such as the red and the salmon-pink flower forms.

*Drosera indica* is the sole member of sect. *Arachnopus* (Schlauer, 1996) and is native to the tropics and subtropics of Africa, Asia, and Australia (Diels, 1906; Schlauer, 1987). The chromosome number of  $2n=28$  has been recorded more than once for this species (Kondo & Lavarack, 1984; Peng *et al.*, 1986; Venkatasubban, 1950), although if one considers how morphologically variable and geographically widespread *D. indica* is, it is not unlikely that populations with different chromosome numbers exist, possibly even  $2n=30$ .

Centromeres were not observed in any of the taxa in this study and are probably diffused along the entire length of the chromosomes, as has been suggested by Sheikh *et al.* (1995).

### Conclusions

Previously published chromosome numbers are here confirmed for some taxa and several new counts are reported, including a few tetraploid South American taxa and the first triploid *Drosera spatulata*.

Chromosome numbers for Droseraceae are remarkably consistent with phylogeny inferred from recent molecular data (Rivadavia *et al.*, 2003). The phylogenetic tree inferred from the DNA sequences of chloroplast *rbcl* gene show the pygmy sundews of subgen. *Bryastrum* as a sister group to subgen. *Lasiocephala*, and curiously both have relatively low chromosome numbers, varying from  $2n=6$  to 28 in the former and  $2n=12-24$  in the latter. The tuberous sundews of subgen. *Ergaleium* are shown to form a sister clade to subgen. *Phycopsis*, and the chromosome numbers known for both are relatively high, varying from 14-40 in the former and 32-64 in the latter. Basal to these four subgenera is *D. glanduligera* Lehm. Which surprisingly has an intermediate chromosome number of  $2n=22$ .

On another branch of this phylogenetic tree one finds African sundews towards the apex, including species from subgen. *Drosera* sect. *Drosera*, sect. *Oosperma* and sect. *Ptycnostygma*, suggesting these sections are not monophyletic. Chromosome numbers in this branch include  $2n=20, 40, 60,$  and  $80,$  as well as the doubtful  $2n=32$ . Sister to this group are the tetraploid Brazilian taxa of sub-

gen. *Drosera* sect. *Drosera* and sect. *Oosperma* reported above. Just below these two branches is another branch that includes a majority of diploid taxa from subgen. *Drosera* sect. *Drosera* and sect. *Oosperma* native to the New World and the Northern Hemisphere.

An apparently unrelated group of species that branch together on the phylogenetic tree is also supported by chromosome numbers. *Drosera adelae*, *D. indica*, and *D. hamiltonii* are all reported to have  $2n=28$ , a rather rare number in the genus. Furthermore *D. burmannii* and *D. sessilifolia* branch together, both having  $2n=20$ . The two basal species in the genus *Drosera* are *D. arcturi* Hook and *D. regia* Stephens. The latter has  $2n=34$ , a relatively high number, as with the closely related genera *Dionaea* ( $2n=30, 32$  and  $33$ ) and *Aldrovanda* ( $2n=38$  and  $48$ ) while the latter has  $2n=20$ , a number that could be considered as basic for the genus.

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Ana Maria Benko-Iseppon for teaching me all the techniques used for the karyological studies; Dr. Jane Kraus for the permission to carry out the chromosome counts in her laboratory; Dr. Jan Schlauer for proofreading drafts of this paper and for helping to locate much of the information regarding chromosome numbers in *Drosera*; Ivan Snyder for supplying seeds and useful information; Dr. Mitsuyasu Hasebe for proofreading an early draft of this paper; Robert Gibson, Ivan Snyder, and Steve LaWarre for help with literature; Marcos R.F. Cardoso, the Ono family, José Mauricio Piliackas, Fábio Pinheiro, Linilson Padovese, as well as other friends for accompanying me on collecting trips and/or for participating in the cultivation of the *Drosera* taxa used in this work; the University of São Paulo for offering greenhouse space for the cultivation of the plants; all the people who helped by donating plants and seeds; Allen Lowrie and Rolf Grantsau for their motivation and taxonomic help.

#### References

- Behre, K. 1929. Physiologische und zytologische Untersuchungen über *Drosera*. *Planta* 7, 2-3: 208-306.
- Bennett, S.T., and Cheek, M. 1989. The Cytology and Morphology of *Drosera slackii* and Its Relatives in South Africa. *Kew Bull.* 45: 380.
- Beuzenberg, E.J., and Hair, J.B. 1983. Contribution to a Chromosome Atlas of the New Zealand Flora - 25 Miscellaneous Species. *New Zealand J. Bot.* 21: 13-20.
- Diels, L. 1906. Droseraceae, in Engler, A. (ed.). *Das Pflanzenreich* 26(4): 61-128. Leipzig.
- Debbert, P. 1987. Zwei *Drosera*—Arten aus der Kapprovinz, Südafrika. *Mitt.Bot. Staatssamml. München* 23: 431-436.
- Degreef, J. 1990. Evolutionary Patterns in *Drosera*. *Carniv. Pl. Newslett.* 19: 11-16.
- Futagawa, K., Suzuki, R., and Kondo, K. 2002. A molecular cytogenetic study in *Drosera filiformis* and *D. graminifolia*. *Proceedings of the 4th International Carnivorous Plant Conference*: 131-140.
- Guerra, M. 1983. O uso de Giemsa na citogenética vegetal—comparação entre a coloração simples e o bandeamento. *Ciência e Cultura* 35: 190-193.
- Heitz, E. 1926. Der Nachweis der Chromosomen. Vergleichende Studien über ihre Zahl, Grösse und Form im Pflanzenreich I. *Zeitschr. Bot.* 18, 11-12: 625-684.
- Hoshi, Y., and Kondo, K. 1998a. Chromosome Differentiation in *Drosera*, Subgenus *Rorella*, Section *Rossolis*. *Cytologia*, 63: 199-211.
- Hoshi, Y., and Kondo, K. 1998b. A Chromosome Phylogeny of the Droseraceae by Using CMA-DAPI Fluorescent Banding. *Cytologia*, 63: 329-339.
- Juniper, B.E., Robins, R.J., and Joel, D.M. 1989. *The Carnivorous Plants*. Academic Press. Oxford.
- Kondo, K. 1969. Chromosome Numbers in Carnivorous Plants. *Bull. Torr. Bot. Club* 96 (3): 322-328.
- Kondo, K. 1971. A Review of the *Drosera spathulata* Complex. *J. Jap. Bot.* 46 (11): 321-328.
- Kondo, K. 1973. Chromosome Numbers of some *Drosera* Taxa. *J. Jap. Bot.* 48: 193-198.
- Kondo, K. 1976. A Cytotaxonomic Study in some Species of *Drosera*. *Rhodora* 78: 532-541.
- Kondo, K. 1984. Three New Species of *Drosera* L. from Australia. *Boletim da Sociedade Broteriana* 57: 51-60.

- Kondo, K., and Lavarack, P.S. 1984. A Cytotaxonomic Study of some Australian Species of *Drosera* L. (Droseraceae). Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 88: 317-333.
- Kondo, K., and Olivier, M.C. 1979. Chromosome Numbers of Four Species of *Drosera* (Droseraceae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 66: 584-587.
- Kondo, K., and Segawa, M. 1988. A Cytotaxonomic Study in Artificial Hybrids between *Drosera anglica* Huds. and Its Certain Closely Related Species in series *Drosera*, subgenus *Drosera*, *Drosera*. Kromosomo, II, 51-52: 1697-1709.
- Kondo, K., and Whitehead, B. 1971. Chromosome Number of *Drosera arcturi* Hook. J. Jap. Bot. 46: 344.
- Kress, A. 1970. Zytotaxonomische Untersuchungen an einigen Insektenfängern (Droseraceae, Byblidaceae, Cephalotaceae, Roridulaceae, Sarraceniaceae). Ber. Dtsch. Bot. Ges. Bd. 83, 2: 55-62.
- Peng, C.-I., Yen, S.-F., and Guo, J.-Y. 1986. Notes on the Chromosome Cytology of some Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Plants of Taiwan (I). Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin. (Taipei) 27: 219-235.
- Rivadavia, F., Kondo, K., Kato, M., and Hasebe, H. 2003. Phylogeny of the Sundews, *Drosera* (Droseraceae), Based on Chloroplast rbcL and Nuclear 18S Ribosomal DNA Sequences. Am. J. Bot. 90(1): 123-130
- Rothfels, K., and Heimburger, M. 1968. Chromosome Size and DNA Values in Sundews. Chromosoma 25: 96-103.
- Schlauer, J. 1987. Nomenclatural Synopsis of Carnivorous Phanerogamous Plants. Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 15: 59-117. Updated regularly at [http://www.omnisterra.com/bot/cp\\_home.cgi](http://www.omnisterra.com/bot/cp_home.cgi)
- Schlauer, J. 1996. A Dichotomous Key to the Genus *Drosera* L. (Droseraceae). Carniv. Pl. Newslett. 25: 67-88.
- Seno, J. 2003. A New Natural Hybrid of *Drosera* (Droseraceae) from Miyazaki Prefecture, Southwestern Japan. The Journal of Japanese Botany 78(3): 170-174.
- Sheikh, S.A., and Kondo, K. 1995. Differential Staining with Orcein, Giemsa, CMA, and DAPI for Comparative Chromosome Study of 12 Species of Australian *Drosera* (Droseraceae). Am. J. Bot. 82 (10): 1278-1286.
- Sheikh, S.A., Kondo, K., and Hoshi, Y. 1995. Study on Diffused Centromeric Nature of *Drosera* Chromosomes. Cytologia 60: 43-47.
- Nakamura, T., and Ueda, K. 1991. Phytogeography of Tokai Hilly Land Element II. Taxonomic Study of *Drosera tokaiensis* (Komiya & Shibata) T.Nakamura & Ueda (Droseraceae). Acta Phytotax. Geobot. 42: 125-137.
- Venkatasubban, K.R. 1950. Studies in Droseraceae I. The Cytology of *D. indica* Linn., *D. burmannii* Vahl., and *D. peltata* Sm. with Special Reference to Pollen Mitosis. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect.B, 31, 5: 308-330.
- Wood, C.E. 1955. Evidence for the Hybrid Origin of *Drosera anglica*. Rhodora, 57, 676: 105-130.

# Nepenthes Tours

*Borneo*



*Sumatra*

***New itineraries for 2005 online now!***

***Exciting options for Sabah - Kinabalu, Marai Parai, Trusmadi, Tambuyukon, plus all your old Sumatran favourites, a tour for couch potatoes and a crazy goose chase. Now you have no excuse!***

[www.junglegeeks.com](http://www.junglegeeks.com)