to avoid excessive damage to the donor plant. This method of propagation is limited by the absence of a suitable winter rosette, where in many other species a large number of cuttings can be taken without harm to the donor plant. You would need to be very brave or foolish to take more than one or two cuttings from *P. emarginata*. Given time, individual plants develop new growth points so eventually a clump of plants is produced. Thus another method of propagation is to carefully divide these clumps.

**Conclusion**

*P. emarginata* is so very different from other commonly grown *Pinguicula* that it is a worthwhile addition to any collection, and not particularly difficult to maintain if consideration is given to its slightly unusual growth requirements. Of the *Pinguicula* introduced into cultivation in recent years, *P. emarginata* is a prime candidate for the creation of a new generation of *Pinguicula* hybrids. The many positive attributes of this species (long and prolific flowering period plus distinctiveness) may lead to many beautiful and desirable hybrids which could rekindle greater horticultural enthusiasm in this genus. This was suggested by Slack (1986) when he stated that the horticultural importance of *Pinguicula* could equal African Violets given time—to date this vision remains unfulfilled.

References:
Mr. Darling was the special guest of honor on July 23, 1994 at the Boy Scouts of America 75th Anniversary Celebration at Camp Acachela. He was the first Nature Director at Camp Acachela in 1924 and the first Eagle Scout in the Wyoming Valley in 1919. Mr. Darling says this is where his original enthusiasm for nature and botany began, leading to his numerous publications (Darling, 1957, 1961, 1962, 1964a & b, 1966; Darling and Shetler, 1972; Wagner and Darling, 1957).

Mr. Darling made an important collection of Sarracenia rubra Walt. from Georgia early in his career. He collected S. rubra when he was stationed at Camp Wheeler in the U.S. Army during World War II. The collection was made in Bibb County, Georgia “near Lakeside (NE of Macon) April 15, 1945” Darling (PENN). Mr. Darling botanized the Lakeside area on March 28, 1945 with Dr. Berkman—designer of the Royal Gardens of Belgium (perhaps this refers to The National Botanic Gardens of Belgium at Meise)—when the pitcher plants were still in bud, but returned again by himself on April 15. His field notes for the latter date read, “Drive to Winship Lake, very hot weather, clear sky, morning and afternoon off. Canoeing and swimming following formation and ceremony in honor of Roosevelt’s funeral; called on Major Barber and wife in Macon, then to Lakeside in late afternoon. Pitcher plants in full bloom.” The only other collection of S. rubra from this county was “wet thicket, Lakeside (near Macon) July 2, 1932” Wherry (GH).

According to Troup (pers. comm. to T. Darling, 1975) Dr. Harrold of Macon, Georgia (a noted botanist in his own right, the Charles Harrold Preserve between Macon and Savannah being named after him) may have provided Dr. Wherry with locality data on the S. rubra station. Mr. Darling was also a friend of Dr. Harrold who personally showed him many interesting botanical finds near Macon, and it is likely that the Lakeside bog was well known to local botanists. Investigations by Troup in 1974, Sheridan and Troup in 1990, and Sheridan in 1992 have all failed to relocate the Lakeside S. rubra colony. Examination of topographic maps indicates that this population probably occurred on a pond margin at Lakeside or on a sandhill seep.

The only other pitcher plant species collected in the Macon area was S. flava L. by S. M. Tracy (Drosera filiformis var. tracyi is named after him) on May 5, 1889 (US). Troup and McDaniel (1980) and Troup (pers. comm. 1995) state that Tracy’s collection is actually S. oreophila (Kearney) Wherry. While the specimen lacks phyllocladia which would clarify whether it is S. flava or S. oreophila, Troup (pers. comm. 1995) points out several important reasons that suggest it is S. oreophila. The specimen was found in the same geographic range as other S. oreophila populations along the Alabama and Georgia fall line sandhills. The overall size of the specimen is relatively smaller than a typical flowering S. flava and the scape is taller than the adult pitcher as is typical of S. oreophila. The scapes of S. flava are decidedly shorter than the average sized pitchers.

S. rubra also grew with S. oreophila in Taylor County, Georgia (Troup and McDaniel, 1980). Mr. Darling’s collection of S. rubra in the same county as S. oreophila is an important one and helps to link these two species geographically. I hope that future work in Bibb County will rediscover S. rubra or the more elusive S. oreophila with Mr. Darling’s work as a helpful foundation.

I congratulate Mr. Darling on his lifelong achievements and wish him many more years to come. Those interested in visiting the Thomas Darling Nature Preserve should contact The Nature Conservancy at (717) 643-7922.

(Conversations with the The Nature Conservancy staff in Pennsylvania revealed that they hope to enlarge the Thomas Darling Nature Preserve in the future. Those interested in supporting The Nature Conservancy’s work in Pennsylvania should call the number listed above, or write to The Nature Conservancy, 1507 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102.)
Conservancy, Poconos Mountain Program, P.O. Box 55, Long Pond, PA 18334—ed)
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Figure 1: Tom Darling with Bud Cook of The Nature Conservancy. Photo by Sally Fuller.
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